

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	۷٥. ٠	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,232		10/14/2003	Christopher M. Ong	PO-7950/PS-1131	4634	
34947	7590	07/07/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
LANXESS CORPORATION 111 RIDC PARK WEST DRIVE				NUTTER, N	NUTTER, NATHAN M	
		PA 15275-1112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	•			1711		
				DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/685,232	ONG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nathan M. Nutter	1711	·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered tim. NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _							
•	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal mat	•	ne merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.		•				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	'TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this Nationa	al Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1003</u>. 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT 	Г О-1 52)				

Application/Control Number: 10/685,232

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-21 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 10/726,379 in view of Guérin et al, both newly cited. The application to Guérin et al claims a composite that may embrace more than one, "optionally hydrogenated, nitrile rubber polymer" wherein the claimed nitrile rubber may have a Mooney viscosity that embraces the limitation of "below 10," as recited in the instant claims. The patent to Guérin et al teaches the production of "hydrogenated nitrile rubber polymers" having Mooney viscosities "in the range of from 3 to 50." The employment of nitrile rubbers in mixture is taught in the application to Guérin et al at paragraph [0003]. Further, note the uses disclosed for nitrile rubbers at paragraph [0002], which would embrace those recited and claimed.

Art Unit: 1711

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 571-272-1076. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn

5 July 2005